

Sheerit Yisrael—www.age-end.com

Somewhere
Out There

With a Different Approach to the Questions of
Religion, Philosophy, Faith, Hope and the Future
All in the Context of a Coming World Government

Judging Others II

(Published 5 Kislew 2015; Updated 6 Kislew 2015)

By an unworthy servant

(Notice to Readers and especially Christian theologians. Please use what you can here—But with Credit to this website. Please do not do as most Christian Preachers do by plagiarizing and stealing words and ideas from others. The least you can do is to give credit when you take from others, to include taking from this website).

“Pastors” Martin Lindstedt, Dewey Tucker, William Finck, James Wickstrom, Eli James and Jeremy Visser--the Same?

The Dual Seedline Christian Identity movement has been plagued with much internal warfare, hatred, opposition and dissension in the last 20 years or so in sharp contrast to the somewhat previous unity and common brotherhood found in the motion in its earlier days; with its early leaders like Wesley Swift, Bert Comparet, San Capt, Clem Davies and Richard Butler.

The enormous internal hate and conflict has been especially noted in the words and teachings from the IQ level and wisdom of the above six so called Christian “pastors” and leaders--Lindstedt (at whitenationalist.org), Tucker (at truthfromgod.com), Finck (at Christogenea.org), Wickstrom (at jameswickstrom.com), James (whose real name may be Putz though he uses the aliases James and November, at anglo-saxonisrael.com), and Visser (at covenantpeoplesministry.org).

The Judging Others Problem

In the vein of judging others, this age-end.com web site broached this theme in an article earlier on Judging Others (at this web site in the left menu column on Some Cardinal Doctrines).

The backdrop on this theme is that Christian “pastor” Martin Lindstedt devotes most of his life, energy, time and effort to constantly attack, insult and demean people in society in general and

particularly his fellow Christian pastors—like Jeromy Visser—over their personal attributes and/or something else that crossed Lindstedt; but usually not over their religious doctrines and teachings to the dumb Christian Identity sheep under their control (seemingly, Lindstedt has no particular problems over their theology; his opposition is more often that he just does like others and tries to attack others with or without cause—he ends up hitting others over their looks or their family members).

Lindstedt is personally weak, shallow and limited in his personal Scriptural knowledge and therefore does not normally attack his fellow Christians over doctrine or theology. But he does vigorously attack his fellow pastors and their family members and indeed people in general in the secular society that he personally does not like (which is almost everyone in the world but himself).

In the article on Judging Others (I), mention was made to the so-called wisdom of Christian “pastor” Visser who totally opposes any judging of others—in contrast to Lindstedt who judges all others indiscriminately. Per Visser, it is sin and evil (slander and gossip) to judge others. Per Lindstedt, he (and not The CREATOR) is empowered to judge all others about anything and everything.

As Judging Others (I) tried to convey, judging “some or certain” others is very Scriptural while judging sinners collectively out in the secular world is totally unscriptural. Thus, NONE of us (NO--ONE of us) has been commissioned, chosen or authorized by The CREATOR to judge collective individual people out in the world.

YHWH HIMSELF is the Judge of the sin and righteousness found in all men. HE will do this when HE judges the dead (and living as well) of the world (Gen 18:25; Rev 20:11-15). Therefore, when a fool shows up and pronounces judgment on dead people, he is skating on really thin ice. We had better not do it.

This remark is needed because all of a sudden the above “pastors” Lindstedt and Tucker have undertaken the authority and responsibility to judge a dead man named Neuman Britton—possibly because Britton allegedly had a wife earlier in life who was a part Indian in some way (apparently, she must have died and Britton remarried the supposed White widow of White leader Gordon Kahl).

Based on Gen 18:25 and Rev 20:11-15, it appears that perhaps both Tucker and Lindstedt had better back off and leave the dead Britton alone. He will be judged by YHWH, and not by Lindstedt or Tucker.

Yet, Lindstedt does regularly judge and condemn Jeromy Visser because he is reportedly a part breed Indian of some sort (although Lindstedt’s close buddy and close friend Tucker may or may not share in this Lindstedt wisdom). Of course, if Lindstedt would be honest and fair and make his judgment of Visser Scriptural it would be right and I would not object to it (per John 7:24).

The reason judging some/certain others is very correct and right Scripturally is because the Scriptures always teach that man has the right and indeed duty to judge ALL 100% of his leaders, chiefs and bosses; and especially in the religious sense. The reason is obvious to even the most dense and stupid of men. There are many false prophets out in the world in leadership roles over us. If we do not judge them and their works of evil over us, then we become accessories in their evil.

If we did not judge political leaders like the Khazar Jew Bush clan, the diabolical Clintons or the Black moron Obongo, can you not see the horrible state of affairs the whole world would soon be

in? While the limited judgments of the righteous won't affect most of the world, at least their judgments may influence their friends, family members and a few others in their contacts and in secular society. Judgment of leaders is always authorized and commanded.

It is all the more critical that religious people judge religious leaders. It is because Scripturally shallow and dumb Christians do not judge their pastors and leaders (by the WORD) that these skunks and scum bags have gotten away with lying and deceiving their followers for centuries. Hence, we have ended up with the likes of Billy Graham and Pope Francis being the modern leaders of much of the world.

As far as Lindstedt constantly judging Visser, I say GOOD if it on the premise of the Scriptures, righteousness and truth; and not on irrelevant nothings and lies as commonly done by Lindstedt (Lindstedt cannot use the Scriptures since he knows very little about the Scriptures).

Interestingly, Lindstedt constantly attacks Visser for being part Indian (while Lindstedt himself is manifestly part Negro; on this see Matthew 7); and Lindstedt knows almost nothing about race. On this, see the article on Racial Mixing at this web site under Some Cardinal Doctrines.

This is the next needed place where it is essential that a citation may be made to the second clear instance of where religious people must be and indeed are commanded to judge others. On this, all members of a congregation or group must judge the collective sins and works of evil and wrong in all other members of the family or group. This fact is repeatedly brought out by YESHUA in the good news (see Matthew 5:23-24; 18:15) and by the Apostle Shaul (I Cor 5:11-13; 6:1).

When leaven comes into the group (as has happened in the Christian Identity motion), it will spread and contaminate the whole group. Therefore, it must be judged and addressed. But too bad for the modern Christian Identity movement, it has a leadership of Scripturally shallow and illiterate people who usually are unqualified in the Word and do not properly judge others (like with Lindstedt and his five colleagues cited above).

This judgment of the family and group obviously extends to the whole of society in terms of judging sin/morality collectively in society. This does not mean that we can or should try to judge people individual people in terms of sin and morality in society (beyond leaders); but we must judge the collective sins of the collective people; as again so powerfully taught in the Scriptures.

We are commanded to speak out for the collective good of all and condemn the sins of the collective society—at least where we can in our families and groups that we participate in (and if we are leaders in a broader sense, we certainly should speak out on right and wrong).

TS 1024423, Recorded Nov 7, 2015

The above backdrop takes us to a Talkshoe podcast (TS 1024423) by Dewey Tucker in late Bul 2015 (Nov 7, 2015). Just after having a bad heart attack, Tucker offered his wisdom and thinking about life and our duties and responsibilities which completely surprised me since I had believed that Tucker was a somewhat moral and honorable man—despite having some very absurd and bad religious thinking.

In this Tucker podcast, he says that he started smoking at age 40 when the feds started their attack on cigarette smoking. Per Tucker, if the feds (and their Khazar Jew criminal master rulers) are for something, he (Tucker) has to be against it and/or if the feds are against something, Tucker is for it.

In applying this analogy, I wonder how Tucker might deal with the more often real world and not the hypothetical world he cited on cigarettes. Very often, in the real world of Khazar Jew control and government, the government says things that are exactly opposite of what the government actually does (under Khazar Jew control).

For example, the Government publicly says and pretends to be against the ISIS terrorists that are said to be bombing airplanes, killing people by cutting throats, raping girls, and setting off bombs.

But yet, in secret, the Khazar Jews and their Khazar Jew led government created ISIS (by a Khazar Jew criminal named Elliot Shimon who was trained in Israel by the Mossad to go to Iraq, as an Arab named Al Bagdadi, and use Khazar Jew money from the US and Saudi Arabian puppets to bring in paid mercenaries to come into Iraq and Syria and promote the on-going revolutions in those lands).

Because of the work of the Khazar Jews involved in the Middle East, the US puppets publicly pretend to oppose ISIS and their work in Syria and Iraq all the while the US government secretly promotes, funds and supports ISIS throughout the Middle East. In other words, the US government says one thing publicly while in secret it does the exact opposite. The same thing exists with addictive dope and drugs.

Figure 1 The Tucker Family Enjoying the Pagan sun worship Christmas (courtesy of Christogenea.org)



If a man like Tucker tries to establish his morality, beliefs and thinking by what the US government says, how can he use this basis for belief and actions when the US government in secret may be doing things secretly in a totally different world than what the US government publicly says.

The point of this is that the actions and/or words of the US government are not a very good source for righteousness and truth. The only source for truth is the Scriptures—not the US government.

In a summation of his belief system, Tucker added that if one wants to smoke, he should smoke; and if he wants to eat something (like pork?), he should go ahead and eat it—like pork. Per Tucker, you should do whatever you want to do.

Probably this belief system is the reason that Tucker has taught and led his family to participate in the pagan Christian sun worship Christmas exercise (as shown here, in figure one, of the Tucker

family enjoying a recent Christmas event).

So, while Tucker argues against Christendom, his works seem to be VERY CHRISTIAN. This is Christian theology and thinking that says smoking tobacco is alright, that eating pork is alright, and that observing the pagan Christian sun worship Christmas is alright. So Tucker is against Christendom; but then teaches and promotes Christian theology and beliefs.

Possibly, this Tucker belief system has been influenced by his belief system that his “God” is in control of/directs all events taking place on earth. In that sense, the Tucker “God” is responsible for sin and evil and whatever we do—like smoking cigarettes or eating something (like pork and other condemned food?). In other words, we are to do whatever we want to do. Like Tucker says, if you want to smoke, do it; if you want to eat something, do it. If you want to keep Christmas, keep it.

This backdrop is relevant to the belief system of Martin Lindstedt. Lindstedt suggests that YHWH’s laws are in his heart. In action, he seems to wear Jewish phylacteries on his head/forehead (on this see, figure 2, herein, of Lindstedt and his Jewish phylacteries).

The writer of this study has noted many times that Lindstedt may talk about obeying the Scriptural laws, but I know of no instance where he obeys any of the Scriptural laws. There are 613 commandments in the Torah (the five books of Moshe) and over 1,000 judgments in the New Testament (that address and amplify the 613 commandments in the Torah).

While it is theoretically possible that Lindstedt may obey one or a few more of these commandments and judgments, I personally know of none of them he obeys. I think he violates many, most, or perhaps all of them because he seems to do whatever he wants to do. He does exactly what Tucker teaches. He does as he pleases, and not what the Scriptures say.

When I heard Tucker’s words about smoking and eating (plus observing Christian sun worship festivals like Christmas), I immediately linked them to the reality of how Lindstedt does as he pleases, and not as the Scriptures teach and prescribe. Perhaps this is the answer of why it has worked out that Tucker and Lindstedt turn out to be the best of buddies though they are seemingly different in all possible aspects.

The following comments on the Linkstedt phenomenon will pursue this possibility.

The Tucker-Lindstedt Connection

The writer here has been in constant state of confusion, bewilderment and uncertainty of why and how the somewhat moral, honest, rational, sane Tucker (who does know some few things about some of the New Testament texts) could be totally 100% buddy-buddy with the very offensive, vulgar, hateful, Scripturally shallow Lindstedt; who some people (Jeromy Visser and Russ Walker) say is a lunatic, maniac, insane nut case (even Lindstedt himself says that he is mad—a mad dog).

And if you look at a few of the photos of Lindstedt (which he proudly shares), it is easy to see that he has some problems (although some reason that he is careful never to profile his head from the sideways). John Britton suggests that Martin has a typical Khazar Jew nose and he hides his nose from the camera so others can’t see it.

Something seems to be wrong and not right in the Lindstedt wiring (maybe some of his wires are loose, maybe they are not correctly connected as they should be connected, or maybe he fell out of his crib as a baby and his head hit the floor to hurt him). My take is that he appears to be a loose

cannon.

Also, as evidence that he has a wire problem in some way, all one needs to do is to listen to a few of the Lindstedt oral podcasts. You indeed can quickly come to a conclusion that he is mad, as he himself says he is. Sometimes, he completely loses control.

Frankly, I end up laughing at Lindstedt as he comes over to me as a complete mad man or mad dog as he himself says. When he goes off into an orbit of spontaneous wild laughter, for no reason at all or presumably at something he finds funny, I too end up laughing at him. He conveys to me the possible image of an absolute nut job that should, as a minimum, be restrained in an institution of some sort.

Though this image of possible insanity and/or mental instability often comes over, Lindstedt on some occasions can calm down and come over as being rational, coherent and sane. Hence, for some, he may be described as having a dual personality—a Dr Jekyll and Mr Hyde type of man.

Figure 2, Martin Lindstedt Wearing Jewish Phylacteries (compliments of John Britton <copterav8r@msn.com>



But the Real Lindstedt and His Big Sex Problems

In my early days of Lindstedt contact, I only knew what I had read in some of his forum entries at his web site. In general, he came over as very vulgar and hateful man, but at least rational and coherent. I did not have much exposure to the true Lindstedt till I much later decided to listen to some of his oral podcasts; where the clearer Lindstedt manifested itself.

I knew the state of Missouri arrested him and shipped him off to a state nut house earlier for some time. Like others, I ignorantly suspected that his right-wing political ideology may have perpetuated the arrest. I gave it no particular thought or focus till one day former Lindstedt friend and ally Russ Walker revealed that Lindstedt had been arrested on the basis of bathing naked in the bathtub alone with the very small grandchildren of his common law wife while their mother and grandmother were not present (thus, little children of no blood relation to Lindstedt himself).

As it turned out, Lindstedt not only took naked baths alone with the three very little boys (all under age six) and the little girl (perhaps around age 9 or so), but he also took naked showers alone with the little girl (and evidently at a time in life when she was beginning to develop as a woman). In time, the little girl told the school authorities of the Lindstedt activities with the little children.

Of course, the local Missouri officials seized the children and made an investigation. One little boy told of how Lindstedt either sucked or played with his little private part in some way while they were naked, frolicking together in the bathtub. Thereupon, the state of Missouri arrested Lindstedt and began a prosecution; apparently on the basis of statutory sodomy and pedophilia.

Well, Missouri shipped him off to the nut house where he stayed for the next three years or so. Since the Lindstedt criminal records are now reportedly sealed, it is not clear of what all happened (although Lindstedt gives his pitch on the events; but he is said by his acquaintances to be a pathological liar). But my guess is that his common law wife worked in some way to get him released.

So Lindstedt beat the rap and the state dropped its criminal prosecution (John Britton, a former Lindstedt friend, has built a colorable case that Lindstedt is a racial Khazar Jew; and if so, this would offer a good reason on how Lindstedt was released without prosecution for sodomy and pedophilia—Khazar Jews often beat the charges made against them by the goyim).

The state then took the seized children and shipped them off to foster homes to get them away from Lindstedt. As far as the small boy Lindstedt did things to, Lindstedt says that he is mentally retarded and a liar. Much of this backdrop came to the attention of at least the leaders in the contemporary Christian Identity movement.

It appears that most of the CI leaders kept their mouths shut and said nothing about the case. But Jeromy Visser to his credit did take a stand and say that Lindstedt should be stoned to death (and Lindstedt has passionately hated Visser ever since). Well, I have to agree that Lindstedt should be prosecuted for pedophilia and sodomy. And if found guilty, then he should be stoned to death.

Later, when I became aware of what had happened, I wrote on this Lindstedt depravity and sickness (in an article on *Nude Adults and Children Bathing Together*--at this web site under *Some Cardinal Doctrines*).

Lindstedt in several podcasts orally discussed and defended his bathing actions as being good and moral for all concerned. He maintained that the little boy was mentally defective and a little liar; and that Lindstedt is a great moral Christian pastor worthy of leading 10,000 Christian warlords when zog comes apart in the coming days.

In one more addendum to the above, I must note that Lindstedt's apparent mental and moral state has prompted him to make up songs about his sexual desires and thinking. In one of his popular songs, he sings, he tells how much fun and pleasure it is to rape and assault a small Negro girl as

she cries from the pain and hurt he puts on her in the rape. He says the “coon-tang is best when the little girl cries.”

Of course, this rape song of little Negro girls is also an illustration of how he feels about inter-racial sex. He often sings or writes about White-Black sex; and not in condemnation but in how much fun it is. Too, he likes to sing about his love for other men. This made me think he might be a homosexual faggot; or at least a man with homosexual tendencies, as former Lindstedt friend John Britton observes.

As a minimum, Lindstedt has some very profound sexual hang-ups and problems. His dilemma makes persons familiar with demonization in the Scriptures be immediately suspicious that Lindstedt may have some serious sex demon problems. He possibly is demonized with more than one bad sex demon.

I have noted before that when he loses control and completely goes to pieces in his podcasts, it seems that nothing can calm him down and restore him to an apparent state of sanity.

Yet, his close friend and colleague Tucker and Gary of Michigan both do seem to have this needed calming effect on Lindstedt. When Lindstedt is with Tucker or Gary, he actually comes over as a normal, rational, sane man. Possibly, there is something about Tucker and Gary which quiet the Lindstedt demons down. Either that or perhaps he has a homo demon which makes Tucker and/or Gary attractive to him.

If Lindstedt has some demon problems, as could be the case, this position of Tucker in saying to do whatever you want to do (as broached above in the preceding comments) may be the very key that makes Lindstedt and his demons calm down and act rational and sane. Maybe they are linked in some way.

I mention this backdrop on Martin Lindstedt to raise a question about the morals and integrity of Tucker. Surely, by now, Tucker must be completely informed on one of his best friends, Lindstedt. The two of them are thick on both of their podcasts and publications (at least, Lindstedt tells about how much he likes Tucker).

If Tucker is a moral man with honor and integrity, how is it and why is it that he never openly and publicly condemns the actions of Lindstedt. You might be stupid and uninformed for a few days on Lindstedt, but it does not take much exposure to even the densest and stupid of people to soon come to some knowledge that how Lindstedt, the Christian pastor, has got major problems.

Why wouldn't a moral honest religious person want to warn his family members and others he is in contact with on the internet. Tucker brings Lindstedt on his programs and goes on Lindstedt's programs as if Lindstedt is his best buddy and others should listen to Lindstedt and give heed to his words and actions.

I will say categorically if I was exposing a person like Lindstedt to my family, friends or religious associates, I would warn them of the potential dangers posed. I would not silently sit back and pretend that people I know should listen to the Lindstedt teachings and not be warned of the possible demon troubles that could come to them.

At some point in time, an honest, moral person would have to get up off his bottom and warn others of a potential threat. I think that this is the essence of the several Scriptures cited above on the need to publicly take a stand and judge others so that their works of evil and wrong do not leaven

the whole lump.

As far as I can tell, Lindstedt and Tucker get along excellently together. There is never any criticism or critical judging of one of them of the other. In that context, maybe Tucker agrees with Lindstedt that he should rape and plunder little children and that the coon-tang of a little Negro girl is best when she cries from the pain and hurt being put on her in a sexual assault by an adult man.

The James Wickstrom Link to Tucker and Lindstedt

The introductory comments that commenced the presentation in this article mentioned the interesting Christian Identity leader James Wickstrom, who claims to be Dr Wickstrom. In a strange way, Wickstrom links to both Tucker and Lindstedt.

Before addressing the strange tie between these three identity pastors and leaders, let me briefly introduce the reader to the wisdom, morality, and honor level of Christian Identity pastor James Wickstrom.

Wickstrom has reportedly been in the identity business for over 40 years. He goes way back with Dewey Tucker who also apparently started in Identity at least 40 years ago. In those early days, Tucker and Wickstrom knew each other. And they started off not liking each other, evidently from day one.

In recent times, Wickstrom has prepared at least one lengthy talk in which he greatly criticized and condemned Tucker for being arrogant, proud and a big shot who punched out of active work in the movement to be dormant for almost 40 years before resurfacing again in the last couple of years (for money from his father).

But, per Wickstrom, Tucker has showed up again to once more let everyone know that Tucker is a big shot and now wants to take over the Identity motion.

In addition to his verbal comments on Tucker, Wickstrom has also zeroed in on Lindstedt whom Wickstrom linked to Tucker and added that Tucker's friend (Lindstedt) is a crazy nut and vulgar buffoon.

Figure 3, Christian Identity "Pastor" James Wickstrom (courtesy of Talkshoe.com)



To appreciate Wickstrom, it would be well to address one of the primary incidents in his life which has had a profound effect on his reputation for morality, honor and integrity.

In the way of a backdrop, it seems that the doctor made contact with a Keith Kallstrom and his wife Kathleen-Kathy family in Broken Arrow, Oklahoma by about the year 2003 (evidently many years earlier). Being a naïve Christian, who didn't understand how a Christian doctor pastor like Wickstrom operates, Keith extended an invitation for the reverend doctor to visit him and his wife in Broken Arrow (and my guess is that he sent money to Wickstrom as Wickstrom seems to con money from suckers, as a part of his program).

Apparently, Kallstrom believed that Wickstrom was a Christian brother in the Identity faith. So, he opened up his house to Wickstrom and allowed him to move in and stay with his family in Oklahoma for a time. Accordingly, Wickstrom showed up and probably went thru the pap of being a good, loving brother in the faith.

Then, when Kallstrom was not looking (possibly, he had a job or something which would not allow him to watch the clever cunning Wickstrom all of the time, and likely Kallstrom actually believed that Wickstrom was his religious brother; so poor Kallstrom trusted Wickstrom and did not watch the diabolical Wickstrom all of the time he was in the Kallstrom household), Wickstrom's true honor and morality surfaced.

So, when Kallstrom was not watching, Wickstrom proceeded to put the make on Kallstrom's wife Kathy--secretly behind Keith's back. This illicit sexual affair prompted the later Martin Lindstedt to rename Wickstrom—Wick da Dick.

Apparently, Wickstrom and Kathy liked their bed time together and decided for Kathy to dump her husband and move back to Michigan with Wickstrom. Hence, poor Kallstrom woke up and found that his religious Christian brother in the faith had stolen his wife and absconded with her back to Michigan.

Splcenter.org, of Oct 14, 2005, had the following remarks in an article on For His Wife Authorities Say, He Wanted a Head; Authorities say Keith Kallstrom came to murder fellow Identity pastor James Wickstrom and fetch back his wayward wife. Kallstrom denied any intended violence:

Two years ago, an old Kallstrom pal, Michigan Identity pastor [James Wickstrom](#), ran off with Kallstrom's wife after meeting her during one of his sermons. The couple eventually moved to the area around Rhodes, Mich., where Wickstrom, the "world chaplain" of a splinter group from the neo-Nazi [Aryan Nations](#), insisted to others on the radical right that Kathleen Kallstrom was merely his "nanny" and "housekeeper."

Now Keith Kallstrom, a friend of Wickstrom's for 30 years, didn't just fall off the turnip truck. After his wife left, he wrote her letters begging her to come home and condemning Wickstrom. "The wages of sin are death," he roared. He fumed about the "fornication" that he said God prohibited between unmarried people.

Kathy Kallstrom responded by obtaining a restraining order.

Her husband came unglued. A year after her departure, Keith Kallstrom was so angry that he talked about it to the Intelligence Report. "You do not stand behind a pulpit and preach the Tenth Commandment, 'Thou shalt not covet,' and then take another man's wife," Kallstrom fulminated. "You do not twist the word of God. You do not covet other people's blessings!"

This summer, Kallstrom apparently boiled over. On July 5, federal officials allege, he said that he was going to cut Wickstrom's head off and place it on his mantle. On Aug. 2, he was arrested in Michigan, after driving all the way from Oklahoma in a pickup that contained four homemade hand grenades, an assault rifle, a shotgun, a 9 mm pistol, a ski mask and a pair of handcuffs. A customer at a gas station saw Kallstrom locking and unlocking the handcuffs on his own wrists and called police to report what seemed to be suspicious activity.

Authorities say Kallstrom came to murder Wickstrom and fetch his wayward wife. Kallstrom denied any intended violence.

For his part, Wickstrom, widely known for his apoplectic exhortations to murder Jews and government officials, had a rather uncharacteristic response to the arrest, showering grateful praise on the FBI and the Michigan State Police, who, the two-time felon enthused, "have done an outstanding job."

As I later learned, Wickstrom is no slouch on clever cunning methods. Once he learned that Keith had some guns and was coming after his wife, Wickstrom reportedly contacted the FBI and Michigan State Police. Hence, when poor Keith showed up in Michigan, they were looking for him.

Thus, Kallstrom was arrested and shipped off to prison for daring to think that he could obey the Scriptures and shoot the crook Wickstrom and possibly Kathy as well (yes, adultery demands the death penalty for both the man and woman involved).

As it turned out, Kallstrom was not provided protection in prison and he was murdered allegedly by another inmate (I don't know the particulars, but there is a chance that Keith was known as a right wing racist and some Black gangster in prison murdered him; in most US prisons, the cons run the prisons and it is easy to be murdered if you are an enemy to some other inmate).

In fairness, this murder of Kallstrom must also be charged to Wickstrom-along with the adultery. Hence, the whole affair brings to mind the situation with David when he stole Bathsheba from her husband Uriah, the Hittite, and later had Uriah murdered. Accordingly, YHWH sent Nathan the prophet to David about his great evil and sin. David's sin and great evil and his later repentance

became public knowledge in Israel.

I mention this tragedy of the poor Kallstrom to show the reader about how sorry the so called leaders are in modern times in the Christian Identity motion. Of all of the leaders in that day and subsequently, the only one of them to speak out and condemn Wickstrom was Eli James, the Khazar Jew ADL agent (as I have been told that he was the only one who spoke out to condemn the evil Wickstrom). Apparently, the other Christian Identity leaders were so shallow and sorry that they sat back and did nothing.

Interestingly, though Wickstrom has so horribly condemned Tucker, Tucker has said little about Wickstrom in return (although he may have cited the Wick adultery). Lindstedt has gone through the motion of criticizing Wickstrom several times. But otherwise, movement leaders keep their mouths shut. They say it was alright.

This article had to address the sorry actions of Wickstrom. This man was for sure an adulterer and likely a murderer as well. If the people of the US was following the Scriptures, the evil Wickstrom and his paramour would be arrested and tried and if found guilty they both should indeed be stoned to death. Yet, Wickstrom remains a big Christian Identity leader to this day, despite his evil in Israel.

In fact, Christian Identity leader Jeromy Visser regularly co-hosts a regular podcast with Wickstrom frequently. Visser certainly has no problem with the sins of Wickstrom.

For sure, Wickstrom has NEVER PUBLICLY REPENTED OF THESE SINS AND PUBLICLY CONFESSED THEM TO THE PEOPLE OF Christian Identity—as should be done, per the material at this website in Volumes 5-7 (of Ezekiel and YHWH's Judgment for the Good News People) and the article on Confessing Sins to Others (under Some Cardinal Doctrines).

As proud and arrogant that Wickstrom appears to be, it seems that he has never publicly repented and confessed these sins publicly as is demanded in the Word (yes, that was the David proscription). Yet, Wickstrom has publicly come out and condemned Tucker for being a proud arrogant big shot; when, in fact, Wickstrom is as bad as or perhaps even worse than Tucker.

Most of the Scripturally illiterate people in the Christian identity movement are like the rest of generic Christianity. They think that they can sin and sin and never face any trials and troubles over their sins. Well, they are in for a rude awakening; if they ever go to the Scriptures to find out the truth.

Repentance is a hard task for most people who want to continuously roll in sin without facing any trouble or adverse consequences. But this web site (age-end) sets out the process which is called repentance.

The reader can find the reconciliation process in volumes 4-7 of Ezekiel and YHWH's Judgment for the Good News People and in the articles on Confession of sins to Others and in the article on [Conversion and Reconciliation--Sin, Repentance, Change, Restitution, Sacrifice Blood/Life, Forgiveness](#) (under Some Cardinal Doctrines).

Eli James-November-Putz

One of the tragedies in the Christian Identity (CI) motion is that the Khazar Jew criminals ruling most of the world have come to hate Dual Seedline Identity perhaps the most of all. To attack this

movement, the Anti-Defamation League (ADL) has followed one of their historic practices in infiltrating agents into CI to try to destroy it from within. It appears that the primary ADL agent in this process is the above cited Eli James.

For vast long centuries, the Khazar Jew master banker criminals in London (ruling the world) have used this infiltration tactic very successfully against their Muslim enemies world-wide. While the Khazars have not had a huge success with the Shiite Muslims, they have had many huge successes with the Sunni Arab Muslims.

Perhaps the Arab personality and mental make-up has made them more prone to Khazar Jew manipulation and control, or perhaps there is some other factor busy to allow and/or promote this Khazar Jew control over the Sunni Arabs; but whatever the reason, the fact remains that the Khazar Jew criminals have had many, many great successes at infiltrating and dividing Sunni Arab peoples and nations to bring great hurt and damage to the Arabs.

The famous Hollywood movie on Lawrence of Arabia illustrated the problem (which of course was made by the Khazar Jew worldwide rule apparatus).

Figure 3, Eli James, second man from the right to the left, the small Hamitic one (complements of White Nationalist.org)




Watchers of the interesting and informative Khazar Jew created Lawrence of Arabia movie may remember some of the closing scenes when the Khazar Jew owned British and the Khazar Jew directed Arab Armies (under Lawrence, who was a serving officer in the British army, and thus an agent of the master criminal Khazar Jews who owned and directed Britain) assembled at a peace conference table in Damascus to establish an Arab government. Almost at once, the Arabs got mad at each other and started a wild uncontrolled brawl.

What was not shown or discussed was the role of the secret, behind-the-scenes Khazar Jew master rulers to infiltrate, motivate, encourage and/or promote this wild explosion of violence and dissension among the Arabs. We are left to believe that it was all just the mentality and make-up of the Arabs. Well, maybe this is true; but also the case can be made that the whole process was engineered and directed by the Khazar Jew master criminals for their purposes of controlling the Arabs after WWI.

The writer of this article has studied the tactics and evil of the criminal Khazar Jew master crooks for ages. And I know and understand their role of infiltrating and using the divide and conquer philosophy to take over not only the Arabs, but also Blacks in Africa, Asians in Asia and Europeans in Europe and North America. They have successively followed this tactic for many long years.

Whenever one reads about a Sunni Arab terrorist entity (like Al Qaeda, ISIS, etc), the case must be realized that it assuredly involves creation and direction by the Khazar Jew master criminals. This world of division and hate takes us to Eli James.

Figure 4, the ADL Letter Used to try to Reinvent Eli James as a Right-Wing Racialist White Nationalist (Courtesy of WhiteReference.Blogspot.com)



I don't know the full history on Eli James. But when I saw his picture (at figure 3, herein) in Martin Lindstedt's WhiteNationalist.org website, I believed at once that he was a Khazar Jew infiltrator. He categorically looks like a Khazar Jew to me (and to others who have seen him as well).

Later, I learned that James was not the real name of this man. I learned that he has had, goes by and/or uses several different names—like Joseph November, Joseph Kutz and Joseph Putz.

Of these different Eli names, perhaps the most interesting one is Putz. The UrbanDictionary.com gives this meaning of the Yiddish name Putz:

Top Definition. putz. (n) a stupid, ignorant person; someone who doesn't pay attention ... Putz A "putz" is yiddish (derogatory) for prick. Oh, don't be such a putz!

While the Ashkenazi Khazar Jew Eli probably did not like the name Putz, it was attached to him or his family at some point in time. Perhaps, Eli or his family decided to change it in time. Maybe that is where the Kutz and later November came from. Or maybe the periodic name changes is just part of the mental makeup of Eli—since frequent name changes is normal Khazar Jew mentality.

The third thing I came to understand is that Eli has had some so called religious changes (which are also normal Khazar Jew MO). He was not always been a CI right winger. Reportedly he showed up in the CI circles around 2000 and the CI dummies just accepted him as one of their own.

But all of the above was enough for me to feel certain that Eli was a Khazar Jew plant who had been infiltrated into the Dual Seedline movement and people to bring division, hurt and damage to it from the inside. As just noted, this is the typical Khazar Jew approach to take out any and all potential Khazar Jew enemies.

The Jews do this all the time as they work to take over all factions and parties in nations and peoples. It almost always ends up that the Khazars control all 100% of the divisions (this is especially true in the US where the Khazars control the Republicans, the Democrats, the Tea Party, the Wall Street Occupy motion, and all other possible parties and factions; this means that the Khazars always win the elections since they control all the parties and candidates).

But the real tip off and catalyst to absolutely prove, beyond a plausible doubt, that Eli is not only an Ashkenazi Khazar Jew, but a Khazar Jew agent for the Anti Defamation League surfaced in 2012 in the above quoted letter from the ADL (Figure 4).

It is a given that a number of people hate the web sites of their enemies. Of course, it is manifest that most of these persons would be glad to take down the web sites of their enemies—IF THEY COULD TAKE THEM DOWN. But taking down web sites is not always something that someone can do. It takes money, expertise, and much effort.

Of course, the ADL has the means and capabilities to take down all of the Identity web sites (but if they do, the owners simply put their sites up with some other providers; so the take downs are short lived and are of little or no consequence).

The ADL knows the problems and accordingly just do not waste time and energy taking down the sites they hate (and especially since these web sites are also a source of advertising and money collection from both Jews and goyim to support the ADL from the threat of a so called racist—thus the ADL needs and uses these right wing sites to their advantage).

Therefore, when I saw the above letter, I did not believe for a minute that it was prepared by the ADL to take down the Eli James site. I could see that the letter came from the ADL; but I just didn't believe it was sent to take down the James site. So why did the Khazars send it? The answer is simple.

The work of Martin Lindstedt and William Finck have both focused on Eli James. There has been a

considerable awakening of right wingers that James is not a true blue White Nationalist. Most, like me and others, could see at once that he was a fake and a Khazar Jew infiltrator. With this loss of credibility, I believe that the ADL undertook the task to re-invent Eli to make out that he was a right winger under ADL attack. I think it was all a Khazar Jew ploy to fool the dummy goyim once more.

My take here is that almost no one in CI today believes Eli is real—save Russ Walker and Jeromy Visser who will be discussed next below.

Jeromy Visser

I have already covered Visser in *Judging Others (I)*. So there is little more to be said.

Figure 5, Jeromy Visser (complements of WhiteNationalist.org)



My take is that Visser is sincere and means well. But, it appears that Visser has limitations on who to trust and who not to trust. He is very close to Eli James and James Wickstrom, as cited above. Apparently, Visser lacks discernment of people.

Too, he is extremely limited in trying to be a preacher or teacher of others and especially with his Pentecostalism and holy roller MO. He seems to know very little about the Scriptures; although he constantly pleads for money or tries to con money from the suckers who follow him. Like other Christian preachers, he cites the tithing laws when he knows absolutely nothing about them (Visser's buddy Wickstrom is also a big man on collecting tithes from the dumb goyim who stupidly do not understand the issue of Scriptural tithing).

Per the Scriptures, tithing was instituted on Israel to support the Levitical priesthood to operate the Temple. As long as there is no Temple, there is no way that tithes can be legally collected in Israel—per the Scriptures. And if there was a Temple, only the racial Levites could collect it. Any attempt to impose a tithe in Israel results in being a theft. No one else can legally collect the tithe but Levites for the Temple.

As sorry as the Ashkenazi Jews may be, even they understand the legal need and requirements of the tithe system. No Jew will ever try to collect tithes from other Jews in the synagogue environment. To do so, it would make him a thief. Yet, many Jews do give liberally to their religious causes and worship sites.

William Finck

Of the six so called CI leaders cited above, William Finck is the most Christian one of all of them. Dewey Tucker is also manifestly a very much Baptist Christian in belief and theology. But Tucker does not approve of Christianity; though his whole mental make-up and thinking seems to be Christian, as far as I can tell (at least in terms of Christmas worship, smoking and eating whatever you want to eat).

My position has long been that the name Fink (and its derivatives) is an Ashkenazi Jew name.

Recently, some person using the name Sun Ray (at SunRay@openmailbox.org) sent me an email I did not really want to answer. I don't like receiving mail from unidentified persons who come over to me suggesting to me that they may be secretly Khazar Jews simply trying to create trouble.

Khazar Jew criminals/ADL do sometimes contact right wingers and try to create trouble and/or build their dossiers. These Khazars like to write letters to editors or writers to create conflict or simply to compile information in dossiers they maintain on right wingers (people that they intend to move against one day).

Too, I am very busy every day trying to stay up with the news and trying to write articles for my few readers and survive on my few pennies in a foreign land. My readers do not send me money and I am sorry to say that they don't even write and say thank you. All a writer like me can generally get from most persons out in the world is the finger.

Hence, I have had a few antagonistic questions over the years from unidentified people who were likely Khazar Jew trolls out to make trouble. Some, I suspected were not my friends at all but were in fact enemies. From persons like that, I don't like to waste time and energy trying to answer them. They are trouble makers.

On the basis that some question could be from honest people seeking truth, I have tried to be honest and answer those questions without offense. But having done that, I still do not remember any person who wrote back and said thank you for my answer. This is sort of like the ten lepers YESHUA healed. Only one of the ten bothered to look back and say thank you. The other nine gave HIM the finger and went their merry way.

So, here is what Sun Ray wrote:

I just read your article at:

<http://www.age-end.com/Some%20Cardinal%20Doctrines/Non-Canaonized%20Wriings.htm>

I have questions.

What is the point of discussing these vain Christian Identity babblers or anything they have to say? This question is virtually rhetorical.

You wrote: "Finck does have an Ashkenazi Jew name and surely must be at least part Khazar Jew..."

What is your source for calling FINCK an Ashkenazi surname? Is "Finck" a word from the Ashkenazi language? What do you know of it?

Does a surname surely prove ancestry? We know there are specific surnames invented by Jews, such as Goldman, Rothschild, Diamond, etc. Is Finck a name invented by Jews, for Jews? If you have any evidence please provide it.

Tucker is both a German and English surname. Many Germans and Anglos are surnamed "Tucker." Yet there are also Jewish Rabbis surnamed, "Tucker." A prominent one is Rabbi Gordon Tucker in New York.

So is TUCKER also an Ashkenazi surname? Does this prove that Dewey Tucker "... must be at least part Khazar Jew..."? Why didn't you also point out that Dewey Tucker has an Ashkenazi Jew name based on the Jewish use of that name?

I would appreciate it if you could explain and prove how, "Finck does have an Ashkenazi Jew name and surely must be at least part Khazar Jew..."

When and where did the Jews invent this Finck surname, if they did? And how is it proof of Jewish ancestry? And why is it important in relation to the screeds of white "suprem-acc-cyst" babblers to point out surnamed Jewishness of one babbler while omitting monikered Jewishness of another (Finck vs. Tucker)?

Finally, do you have any Jewish ancestry?

Thanks in advance for your time in this matter.

~ SR

Sun Ray came across to me as an unidentified Khazar Jew troll working right wing CI people like me to cause trouble. I therefore did not want to waste time on answering. Finally, I gave in and sent the following:

SR, are you part Khazar Jew? Or do you just work for and support the ADL or Khazar Jew crime network of destroying the dumb goyim?

The problem with Ashknazi Khazars is that they change their names and religions regularly to fool the dumb goyim. So we can have and do have Khazars with the names Jones, White, Smith, Tucker and Summers. Not all of them are Jews and certainly probably none of them are religious Jews. IS Fink an Ashkenazi name? Well you can check it on the net. It is easy enough so why ask me?

Historiacally, it perhaps was German or just a slur nickname, like a lot of German names stolen by the Khazars. Usually it is found as finkelstein or something similar.

Here is what Ancestry.com says:

[Fink Name Meaning & Fink Family History at...
www.ancestry.com/name-origin?surname=fink](http://www.ancestry.com/name-origin?surname=fink)

Fink Name Meaning German, Slovenian, English, and Jewish (Ashkenazic): nickname for a lively and cheerful person, or, in the case of the Jewish name, an ornamental .

The unidentified Sun Ray did respond as follows:

Interesting. According to your own source that you provided for me, "Finck" is a German, Slovenian, English and Jewish surname. How does that prove Ashkenazi ancestry, as you earlier stated? Does it not appear likely that a person surnamed "Finck" could be either German, or English, or Slovenian, or Jewish? Or does bearing the surname, "Finck" prove your earlier saying: " "Finck does have an ASHKENAZI JEW NAME and surely must be at least part Khazar Jew..."?

So if the name, "Finck" could prove German ancestry, how is it proof of Mr. Finck's Ashkenazi ancestry? Or are all Germans also Ashkenazi? And if the name, "Finck" could prove English ancestry, how is it proof of Mr. Finck's Ashkenazi ancestry? Or are all Anglos also Ashkenazi?

My original question to you hinged upon asking how William Finck is provably Jewish by the surname. Did I touched a nerve in asking you to prove this? Is it possible your statement is a mistake? Your response barely veils in question an attempt to accuse me of involvement in a satanic crime network.

Who is the false accuser of the Brethren?

I asked for you to prove to me that the surname Finck is proof of William Finck's Jewish ancestry. I asked you for bread and you gave me a stone. How does questioning the evidence of your statements amount to working for and supporting, "... the ADL or Khazar Jew crime network of destroying the dumb goyim?"

You tried to insinuate that I am either working for the ADL or an Ashkenazi Jew. Why did you even try to import that into the response? Are you trying to distract from the issue at hand? Are you deflecting from a mistake in your own prior statement?

So let us get back to the issue at hand: Since you claim the surname "Finck" proves that William Finck is Ashkenazi, can you provide to me the proof? And if you can't provide the proof, can you admit that your statement is incorrect?

You might think I am trying to defend Mr. William Finck. I have no such intention. I don't communicate with him, I don't work with him, I am not his friend, I am not related to him, I don't care about him, and I'm not part of his little online club or forum. I am trying to arrive at the truth or falsity of your statement. "Search all things ... hold fast to that which is true."

If the surname "Finck" is not actual conclusive proof of Ashkenazi ancestry, then why use that to support such an accusation against Mr. William Finck?

~SR

By then, I was very suspicious that I had a Khazar Jew trouble maker or a real Finck fan trying to cause me trouble. So I reluctantly sent the following:

sr, well again you make me wonder about your own Khazar Jew connections.

Of course, the criminal Khazars have flooded England and changed their names and religions to English names and religions and pretended to be English. The same in Germany with them pretending to be Germans while they secretly are Khazar Jew international criminals. So is a German name Fink, the name of a racial German or is it the name of a Khazar Jew criminal pretending to be German?

It is like Fink claiming to be Christian since his family put the c in the name Fink. DO Khazar Jew criminals claim to be Christians? Yes, many of them have and do claim to be Christians. Many also claim to be Jews when they are 100% contrary to Judaism. They may be perhaps called Jews since they are ethnically Amalekite Khazars which supposedly converted to Judaism in 740 CE. But they are not religious Jews or racial Jews of the seedline of Judah at all.

I have given Finck the benefit of the doubt by saying that i think that he probably is not the same kind of a Jew as is true with Eli James, who appears to be a dedicated ADL agent.

I also applaud his family for having the integrity to not change their apparent Jew name of Fink to a more White European Christian name. Most Khazar Jews automatically change their names and religions to fool the stupid goyim who never understand how these criminals operate with deception and lies.

SR, I am wasting some time with you only because i am now suspicious that you might be a follower of Finck and you might be truly interested in researching him before you send him more money (and if so, GOOD). Too, you might be a Khazar Jew plant just causing trouble and argument. So, i dont know what you are?

That is why i asked if you were a Khazar. Instead of answering my question, you moved to more nonsense and argument. This is the typical Khazar Jew MO and approach. So you well could be a Khazar or at least motivated by KHazar Jew thinking and mentality of deceit and strife.

Thus, yes, i think it is probable that Finck is at least part Ashkenazi Jew simply because he has that German Jew name (and hails from Jew NJ and because he got a sweetheart deal from the feds). I do allow though that he is not a typical Khazar Jew. He could be an honest Jew or part Jew--as some few of them are/were--like Adolf Jacob Schicklgruber, Benjamin Freedman, Arthur Koestler.

Dewey Tucker links Finck to being a Federal informant because of the sweetheart deal he got from the feds. Logic tells me that Tucker might be right on this one/it might be that his Khazar Jew connections gave him this advantage.

Sun Ray came back with some very sharp hate for me as follows:

No, I do not make you wonder about "Khazar Jew connections." You are just using that as a rhetorical device. You are the one that calls attention to these Jews on your web site, not me. I merely asked you to prove up your claim, and instead of offering evidence you began accusing me, again without any evidence.

You are a double-minded man, and totally unstable in your thinking.

You say that you suspect me of being a follower of Finck after I made it clear I am not his follower. The truth is that you don't suspect me of anything. You are trying to remove any suspicion toward your own false statement by accusing me.

You falsely accused me of donating money to Finck, then you insinuated I would send him more. Yet you claim to believe the scripture.

Who is the false accuser of the brethren? What says the scripture?

You said that, ". . . it is like Fink claiming to be Christian since his family put the "c" in the name Fink . . ."

I studied linguistics and I can speak from knowledge to debunk your lie. Finck was originally spelled with a "c." It was not added as a tactic of deception, as you allege. You raised a point that is irrelevant and inaccurate. If you look at the records of the Finck aristocracy in Germany, you will see their name was always spelled with a "c." The name "Fink" without the "c" is a modern innovation. Neither was the "c" dropped as a "deception." Many immigrants were illiterate and could not even spell their own names. Thus variant spellings were adopted in the records based on how the names sounded.

You said, "I also applaud his family for having the integrity to not change their apparent Jew name of Fink to a more White European Christian name." But this was the original question that you continue to avoid. You have not proven that "Finck" is a Jew name. You falsely allege that they added a "c" before the "k" to make it more "Christian." And you offer zero proof. You just declare it, even after you provided evidence to the contrary. Since the English, Germans, Slovenians and others who bear the surname, Finck are about 40 times more numerous than the Jews, then having the surname Finck means there's a one-in-forty chance the bearer of the name is Jewish. That's hardly proof of Jewish ancestry. It's barely even suggestive of the possibility. A one-in-forty chance is not "proof."

You said, "That is why i asked if you were a Khazar. Instead of answering my question, you moved to more nonsense and argument. This is the typical Khazar Jew MO and approach. So you well could be a Khazar or at least motivated by KHazar Jew thinking and mentality of deceit and strife."

Mr. Bradshaw, you "doth protest too much!" I first asked you if you had Jewish ancestry, and it is you that conveniently did not answer that, but instead you went straight to accusing me of being a satanic Jew. The very thing you accuse me of, is the very thing you have been doing throughout our exchange.

You said, "Too, you might be a Khazar Jew plant just causing trouble and argument. So, i dont know what you are?"

But at first I was trying to establish what you are. I asked first, and you did not answer. Then you asked, and held me to answer a question that you would not answer yourself.

"Beware of the leaven of the Pharisees . . . which is hypocrisy."

At first I was trying to inquire and establish what you are. And I believe you have inadvertently established that.

You went on to say that Adolf Hitler is a Jew. But that Jewish fairy tale has been debunked. Hitler's family tree is available online, and the Jewish OSS officer who popularized the myth of Shicklgruber's pregnancy to a Jew was proven a liar. Jews love to accuse their victims of Jewishness to deflect attention from themselves. Hitler's mother was never a maid in a Jew's house. It never happened and it was debunked. First it was the alleged Jew Frankenberger who allegedly sired Hitler, until it was proved Frankenberger did not exist. Then they drummed up Rothschild as the imaginary sire of Hitler, which also has been proven a fabrication. You sir, are a liar.

See: The Fake Legends of Adolf Hitler's Jewish Grandfather

<http://carolynyeager.net/fake-legends-adolf-hitler%E2%80%99s-%E2%80%9Cjewish-grandfather%E2%80%9D>

You said, "Dewey Tucker links Finck to being a Federal informant because of the sweetheart deal he got from the feds."

Dewey Tucker also keeps company with Martin Lindstedt, procurator of a Nazi snuff porn website, who accuses almost everyone of being a Jew, monster, or federal agent. Dewey Tucker also created a new religion based on the Jewish idea of a divine Adamic race and an inferior Adamic race. He just substitutes the white man for the Jews and the negroes for the goyim. What credibility would he have as a witness in a competent court? All of Tucker's doctrine is Jewish in origin. Tucker's hollow accusations prove nothing of William Finck's agenda or ancestry.

I'm not going to belabor this any more. You've revealed to me all i need to know. You are a hypocrite. You are a false accuser. And you are a liar. I don't need to know your ancestry to see that you are neither my brother, nor my countryman, nor a teacher of God's word.

~SR

So, here we have an unidentified man who contacts me. I did not contact him and I did not ask him to write me without identifying himself and telling me why he was writing and what his interest was in Finck. Then, when I don't give him the answer he wanted, he attacks me and calls me names and a liar, and makes a whole series of allegations against me for wasting my time and energy to try to answer his many questions and derogatory comments directed at me.

My take all along was that the unidentified Sun Ray was probably a Khazar Jew troll compiling information on me and others. He had little or nothing better to do than write writers like others to try to stir up trouble. If he didn't like my attempts to answer him, he could have dropped the whole

thing. But no, he kept coming back making more accusations and argument showing how much he did not like my work of trying to freely answer him. He did not send me money or even appreciate my time to try to provide him an answer.

If he was not a Khazar Jew criminal trouble maker, I allowed that maybe he was an honest person who really was trying to compile information on William Finck. In that vein, I had wasted much time and energy trying to give him a good answer. So, I made one more reply, as follow:

I agree you and me are in different worlds. I should have refused to comment unless and until i understood why you singled me out for conflict.

As for as the c in finck, listen idiot, that was not my words or thinking that came from Finck. He said the name was Jewish and that his family added the c to distinguish it from the Jews. Idiot, why dont you read Finck and find out what he said.

As for as his genealogy. His mother's backdrop has been fully covered as being Jewish on the net. So he has got Jew links from paternally and maternally.

I dont know who you are, but you must be a Khazar plant to go to such lengths to pick a fight with me. i dont have time to sit and argue with an idiot. I thought that there was a possibility that you made an honest inquiry. I was wrong. You contacted me to make a fight.

In terms of am I Jewish? No, not racially to my knowledge. I have English, Welsh and Irish ancestry. My people were English Separtists/Baptists for centuries. But I am very pro Judaism (and that after I was 35 years old). I am on record and will say again, the whole New Testament worship practice and ritual is 100% Orthodox Judaism (obviously of the Sephardic Oriental Jew variety).

If you don't like Orthodox Synagogue Judaism and worship procedures, you will be shocked to learn one day that is precisely the teaching of the New Testament—ALWAYS BY YESHUA, SHAUL AND THE OTHERS. I can also affirm that if you don't like it and don't want to follow it, my guess is that you will not be in HIS kingdom.

For benefit of people with the Dewey Tucker philosophy—you will either conform to the New Testament/Orthodox Judaism teachings on worship procedures or you may miss out and not get to be a part of YHWH's kingdom. I am fully persuaded that all of us will conform to YHWH's demands in worship or we will not be in HIS kingdom.

The above gives what I understand about the name Fink-Finck. I think it is a Khazar Jew name. I will accept what Finck wrote at his web site. His family added the c to the apparent/alleged Jewish name Fink to show that they were Christians (I read this long ago from the Finck web site, quoting Finck himself). That probably is what happened.

Otherwise, I must hasten to add that various Identity web sites have had Finck's maternal genealogy up to show that his maternal line was Yiddish as well as his paternal line. Lindstedt's WhiteNationalist.org has this as well.

At one time, Lindstedt and Eli James had contact and discussed the Finck genealogy at length. I have never seen anyone attempt to dispute this genealogy. So I have no reason to argue against it. I just add that Eli James referred to Lindstedt as his Buddy. So, maybe they are buddies and fellow Khazar Jews after all (as John Britton suggests).


In terms of the Jew connection to Adolf Schicklgruber, I have went to great length to detail Adolf's

line correctly in articles on Understanding Money and War, Parts 7 and 19 at age-end.com (relying on Jewish writers like Dr Henry Makow and Marvin Antelman). DNA tests of the Hitler family now conclusively prove that he was a Khazar Jew.

By the way, long before I posted my own web site and address with Lindstedt's WhiteNationalist.org web site, I sent William Finck a copy of my article on Adolf Schicklgruber. I offered it to him gratis if he wanted to add it or reference it for discussion at his Mein Kampf project at his web site. Finck did not bother to write and say yes or no, or thank you or anything. Evidently, he did not like what he read.

So, maybe Finck or someone close to him wrote the above Sun Ray emails to pick a fight with me. Something smells the way Sun Ray sought me out for conflict, argument and strife. I am not sure what is behind this Sun Ray nonsense and why he or she singled me out.

Figure 6, William Finck (complements of WhiteNationalist.org)



As far as Finck's appearance, it seems to me that Finck does not look like a Khazar Yiddish Jew. He well could be part racial German or at least have a number of racial German genes. His photo is herein is at Figure 6.

Otherwise, to repeat what I said above, Finck is the closest CI leader to my knowledge who can be called a Christian. I think he perhaps could be a genuine Christian in religious ideology.

I have previously noted that Lindstedt has frequently attacked him for not really having a belief in the Scriptural stories that many of us are familiar with. Finck often refers to these Scriptures as being allegories. Lindstedt has actually quoted Finck's own words about these things being just allegories. Of course, I disagree with Finck's words on allegories as I accept those things historical as being historical truths. I therefore disagree with Finck.

But I mention these Finck words because to me they show how very Christian he really is in beliefs. Much of Christianity has never believed anything to speak of from the Scriptures anyway. So, Finck is very Christian. Finck has merely repeated the thinking and philosophy of much of Christendom. So, if Lindstedt wants to attack him for calling this stuff allegories, he has to attack Christianity in general which also takes many Scriptural accounts as being nothing but allegories.

On the positive side, Finck is surely the best worker among the CI leaders. He is not a lazy man. And he is not a nut case. He is rational, sensible, intelligent, hard working and seemingly dedicated to his brand of Christianity. I find no fault in most of this—although I do not support Christianity or Finck's brand of Christianity.

In fairness, Finck has worked tirelessly to expose the really bad features of Martin Lindstedt, Dewey Tucker, Eli James and Jeromy Visser. I certainly cannot fault him for this as long as he sticks to their doctrinal errors and bad theology. It was Finck who dug up the Christmas sun worship practices of Dewey Tucker when he found that Tucker Xmas event and published it. I have used it and I say thank you Finck.

This pagan Tucker worship should be exposed as evil sin. Finck did us all a good deed. It has been Finck who has led the way in exposing much of the very obvious Khazar Jew linkage that Eli James has. I again must thank him for doing this good work of exposure on Tucker, James, Visser and Lindstedt.

But the big question mark has to be the questions raised by Dewey Tucker. Finck seemingly got a sweetheart deal from the government after he was prosecuted for murdering a Puerto Rican thief in New Jersey (or New York). Tucker has argued that he believes that Finck is a federal informant. And that accusation must be on the table of possibilities for anyone addressing Finck and his theology.

The Bottom Line

The above six identity leaders are the primary ones known in Dual Seedline Christian Identity. There are several more in CI who are single seedlines and must be contrasted with the above six. But the single seedliners (like Dave Barley, Mark Downey, etc) are as bad as or worse than the above six, at least in theology and belief. I have not attempted to address them.

Almost all of them only know about and teach pagan Christian Sun Worship theology which I am no fan of.

There are a few more dual seedline types that I have not addressed. But they too have many of the same problems as those set out above. I have not included them simply because I have had to finally stop on this effort.

To return to the Home Page of this web site, click here: www.age-end.com.